



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/080,914	02/22/2002	Randy Harris	291958173US	7791
25096	7590	09/08/2005		
PERKINS COIE LLP PATENT-SEA P.O. BOX 1247 SEATTLE, WA 98111-1247			EXAMINER LEADER, WILLIAM T	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1742	

DATE MAILED: 09/08/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/080,914	<b>Applicant(s)</b> HARRIS ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> William T. Leader	<b>Art Unit</b> 1742	

**All Participants:**

(1) William T. Leader.

(2) Jphn Wechkin.

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 7 September 2005

**Time:** 11:30 am EDT

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

**Rejection(s) discussed:**

*Rejections of record*

**Claims discussed:**

*All independent claims*

**Prior art documents discussed:**

*Discussed prior art listed in the IDS filed by applicant on August 9, 2005.*

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The claim limitations were compared to the disclosures of Thompson et al (5,168,866) and Curtis et al (6,264,752). It was Examiner Leader's position that these references disclosed the limitations of at least some of the pending claims. Mr. Wechkin argued that at least some of the claims, particularly claim 15, differed from the teachings of the references. It was agreed that the references would be further reviewed and an additional interview would be conducted prior to the expiration of the six month time period from the date of the final rejection..

ROY KING   
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER  
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700